

United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/052,688		01/18/2002	Denise R. Barbut	270/236	4085
34263	7590	01/30/2004		EXAMINER	
O'MELVE			THOMPSON, MICHAEL M		
114 PACIFICA, SUITE 100 IRVINE, CA 92618				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3763	<u> </u>
		DATE MAILED: 01/30/2004			4 /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.•								
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	~ /					
	10/052,688	BARBUT ET AL.	J+					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Michael M. Thompson	3763						
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ss					
• •	EDLY IS SET TO EVOIDE 2 MONTH	J(C) EDOM						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cf after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d reiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frostatute, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this comm NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.					
Status	06 November 2002							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
, <u> </u>	This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condit			ents is					
Disposition of Claims								
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
, <u> </u>								
· <u> </u>	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	and/an algebian requirement	•						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ind/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
Applicant may not request that any objection to	***		4 4047 15					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the or	•	-						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	te Examiner. Note the attached Onl	ce Action of form PTO-	152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 								
	·							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	1) Interview Summe	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).						
 Notice of References Cited (P10-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-94 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449) Paper N 	8) 5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). al Patent Application (PTO-1						
S. Patent and Trademark Office								

Application/Control Number: 10/052,688

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of the restriction requirement in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the method may not be separated from the apparatus. This is found persuasive and the restriction requirement has been withdrawn.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Drawings

3. Color photographs and color drawings are acceptable only for examination purposes unless a petition filed under 37 CFR 1.84(a)(2) is granted permitting their use as acceptable drawings. In the event that applicant wishes to use the drawings currently on file as acceptable drawings, a petition must be filed for acceptance of the color photographs or color drawings as acceptable drawings. Any such petition must be accompanied by the appropriate fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(h), three sets of color drawings or color photographs, as appropriate, and, unless already present, an amendment to include the following language as the first paragraph of the brief description of the drawings section of the specification:

The patent or application file contains at least one drawing executed in color. Copies of this patent or patent application publication with color drawing(s) will be provided by the Office upon request and payment of the necessary fee.

Application/Control Number: 10/052,688

Art Unit: 3763

Color photographs will be accepted if the conditions for accepting color drawings have been satisfied.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Milder et al. (5,116,305) in view of Fischi (6,468,200). Milder et al. teaches all of the limitations of the claims except for a sausage shaped balloon. Fischi teaches a sausage shaped balloon. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention, to have modified the balloon structure of Milder et al. as a sausage shaped balloon as taught by Fischi as taught in the art of intra-aortic balloons for optimal fit within the aorta. Furthermore, a change in shape of a prior art device is a design consideration within the skill of the art. *In re Dailey*, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966)
- 6. Claims 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Milder et al. in view of Manning (5,216,032) or Paradis (5,334,142). Milder et al. teaches all of the limitations of the claims except for a method of cerebral perfusion by occlusion of the aorta. Both Manning and Paradis teach methods of occluding the aorta for the purpose of cerebral perfusion. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention, to have utilized the device as taught by Milder et al. as a balloon device as taught by Manning or

Application/Control Number: 10/052,688

Art Unit: 3763

Paradis for the purpose of at least partially occluding the aorta and thereby achieving cerebral perfusion. Furthermore, it is obvious if not inherent that both Manning and Paradis teach measuring the pressure and adjusting the balloon to achieve the optimal cerebral perfusion specific to each patient. Both Manning and Paradis clearly attempt to achieve perfusion of the cerebral vasculature.

Contacts

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Michael Thompson whose telephone number is (703) 305-1619. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9 am to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Primary, Brian Casler, can be reached on (703) 308-3552. The official fax phone number for all submissions to the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Michael M. Thompson

Patent Examiner

MT M

January 23, 2004

Page 4